

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,145	12/20/2001	Jacques Perichon	RN99079	1754
7590 11/14/2003			EXAMINER	
Kevin McVeigh			WONG, EDNA	
Rhodia Inc				
259 Prospect Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CN 7500			1753	
Cranbury, NJ 08512-7500			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/019,145	PERICHON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edna Wong	1753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
∙3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 19-21 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are rejected.					
	)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) □ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>See</u>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) ), 2001.			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,145

Art Unit: 1753

#### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in France on July 1, 1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 99/08480 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word "said" is used in line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims **20** and **21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

### Claim 20

lines 2-4, the preamble recites "A process for the electrolytic synthesis of an organozinc compound". However, the body of the claim does not recite an organozinc compound. Thus, the body of the claim is inconsistent with its preamble.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 56-5541.

The JP reference teaches a composition comprising:

- (a) a cobalt salt (=  $[Co(NH_3)_6]$  (CF<sub>2</sub>COO)<sub>3</sub>);
- (b) a zinc salt (= zinc oxide);
- (c) a solvent; and
- (d) a cobalt ligand (= [Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>] (CF<sub>2</sub>COO)<sub>3</sub>) [abstract].

As to the limitation of "for electrolytic use", the use fails to distinguish the composition from the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,145

Art Unit: 1753

## Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 20 and 21 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for the electrolytic synthesis of an organozinc compound, comprising the step of subjecting to an electrolysis on an inert cathode a composition comprising a cobalt salt, a zinc salt, a solvent, a cobalt ligand, and an organic halide.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above. JP 56-5541 does not teach subjecting the composition to an electrolysis on an inert cathode. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims 20 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 5:00 pm, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW November 12, 2003